| 1                  |   |   |
|--------------------|---|---|
| 2                  |   |   |
| 3                  |   |   |
| 4                  |   |   |
| 5                  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA   |   |
| 6                  |   |   |
| 7<br>8<br>9        | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,   | CASE NO. CR11-5079BHS<br>ORDER                  |
| 10<br>11           | v.  MIGUEL PEREZ-ESPINOSA,  Defendant.  |   |
| 12  <br>13  <br>14 | This matter comes before the Court on the Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Continue  Trial Date and Pretrial Motions Due Date. The Court, having considered the unopposed motion |   |
| 15                 | law:  | e following findings of fact and conclusions of |
| 16                 |   |   |
| 17                 | additional charges forthcoming.   |   |
| 18                 | 2. The defense needs additional time to in  | evestigate all factual allegations, locate and  |
| 19                 | interview witnesses, conduct legal research and prepare and file pretrial motions.  |   |
| 20                 | 3. The defense needs additional time to explore all relevant issues and defenses  |   |
| 21                 | applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for   |   |
| 22                 |   |   |

| 1  | pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).                                     |  |  |
| 3  | 4. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow           |  |  |
| 4  | the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation his defense, to explore resolution of   |  |  |
| 5  | this case before trial and to ensure continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).  |  |  |
| 6  | 5. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a            |  |  |
| 7  | miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(i).  |  |  |
| 8  | 6. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of           |  |  |
|    | the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).                  |  |  |
| 9  | 7. Defendant waived speedy trial through April 30, 2015.  |  |  |
| 10 | NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED  |  |  |
| 11 | That the trial date is continued from January 20, 2015, to March 31, 2015, at 9:00 a.m.;            |  |  |
| 12 | Pretrial Conference is set for March 23, 2015, at 2:30 p.m.; pretrial motions are due by February   |  |  |
| 13 | 26, 2015. The resulting period of delay from January 9, 2015, to March 31, 2015, is hereby          |  |  |
| 14 | excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)                          |  |  |
| 15 | Dated this 9 <sup>th</sup> of January, 2015.  |  |  |
| 16 |   |  |  |
| 17 | $\langle AC \rangle$  |  |  |
| 18 | Deyr \ South  |  |  |
| 19 | BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge   |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |